

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/066,693		02/06/2002	Yutaka Nakazawa	8013-1005	5640
466	7590	11/18/2003		EXAMINER	
YOUNG &			NGUYEN, DANNY		
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2836	

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>					
· Ap	pplication No.	Applicant(s)					
	0/066,693	NAKAZAWA ET AL.					
Offic Action Summary	xaminer	Art Unit					
	anny Nguyen	2836					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears Period for Reply	's on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will ap Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, caus Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	In no event, however, may a reply be time the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days oply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from se the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Nove							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action		Company of the company of					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepte	•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the draw							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	,						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	mer. Note the attached Office	Action of format 10-132.					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign price	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha 2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bureau (Potential)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority of the priority of application from the International Bureau (Potential)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority of application from the International Bureau (Potential)  **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority	ave been received in Application documents have been receive CT Rule 17.2(a)). The certified copies not receive iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	d in this National Stage  d. e) (to a provisional application)					
37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provision	onal application has been rec	eived					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic pri reference was included in the first sentence of the sp	iority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3,4.		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					



Art Unit: 2836

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1, 3, 6, 8, 11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuchiya et al (USPN 5,136,473) in view of Fong et al (USPN 5,069,683).

Regarding claim 1, Tsuchiya et al disclose an electric double layer capacitor (see fig. 4) having electrodes (20), which include activated carbon particles (e.g. see col. 5, lines 20-21) and a binder binding the activated carbon particles (e.g. col. 1, lines 31-32), wherein a density of the electrodes is in range 0.64 g/cm3 (col. 5, lines 47-48). Tsuchiya et al do not disclose the density of the electrodes as claimed. Fong et al disclose a battery cell is read on the electric double layer capacitor (see fig. 1) comprises a density of electrodes (20) is in range of 0.2 g/cm3 to 2.0 g/cm3 (see col. 12, lines 64-68). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the density of electrodes of Tsuchiya et al to incorporate the density of the electrodes having the range from 0.2 to 2.0 g/cm3 as taught by Fong et al in order to improve energy density in the double layer capacitor circuit.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,693

Art Unit: 2836

Regarding claims 6 and 11, Tsuchiya et al disclose an electric double layer capacitor (see fig. 4) comprises a separator (40), a pair of electrodes (20) separated by the separator, the electrodes including activated carbon particles and a binder binding the particles (e.g. see col. 5, lines 20-21 and col. 1, lines 31-32), a pair of collectors (50) separated by the electrodes (20), wherein a density of the electrodes is in range 0.64 g/cm3 (col. 5, lines 47-48). Tsuchiya et al do not disclose the density of the electrodes as claimed. Fong et al disclose a battery cell is read on the double layer capacitor (see fig. 1) comprises a density of electrodes (20) is in range of 0.2 g/cm3 to 2.0 g/cm3 (see col. 12, lines 64-68). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the density of electrodes of Tsuchiya et al to incorporate the density of the electrodes having the range from 0.2 to 2.0 g/cm3 as taught by Fong et al in order to improve energy density in the double layer capacitor circuit.

Regarding claims 3, 8, and 13, Tsuchiya et al disclose a diameter of the activated carbon particles is in the range of 5 to 13 micrometers and a particle size is in range of 2 to 20 micrometers (e.g. col. 5, lines 21-23).

2. Claims 2, 7, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuchiya et al in view of Fong et al and further in view of Andelman (USPN 6,127,474). The combination of Tsuchiya et al and Fong disclose the specific resistance of the electrodes (20) is 1.4 ohm.cm, but Tsuchiya et al and Fong et al do not disclose the electrodes have the specific resistance as claimed. Andelman discloses an

Application/Control Number: 10/066,693

Art Unit: 2836

electrode has a specific resistance in the range of 1 to 10 ohm.cm (see col. 7, lines 21-23). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have replaced the specific resistance of electrodes of Tsuchiya et al and Fong et al to incorporate the specific resistance as taught by Andelman in order to improve tensile strength of electrodes (col. 2, lines 10-13).

3. Claims 4, 5, 9, 10, 14, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuchiya et al in view of Fong et al and further in view of Gan et al (USPN 6,171,729). The combination of Tsuchiya et al and Fong disclose a binder for binding the activated carbon particles, but do not disclose the binder as claimed. Gan et al disclose a double layer capacitor circuit comprise a binder that contains materials such as fluoro-polymer and polyvinylidene fluoride (e.g. see col. 4, lines 14-20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the binder Tsuchiya et al and Fong et al to incorporate the binder that contains materials such as fluoro-polymer and polyvinylidene fluoride as taught by Gan et al in order to improve conductivity.

## Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danny Nguyen whose telephone number is (703)-305-5988. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (703)-308-3119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,693

Art Unit: 2836

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0956.

DIN

DN

November 14, 2003

BRIAN SIRCUS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800